

Harriet Whitehead,

against

Nathaniel Francis

Off

{ In Chancery

Off

This cause came on this day to be again heard in the papers formerly used
and on the proceedings had in the Special Court of Appeals in this cause
in the transcript of the record of the same, in which said Special
Court of Appeals the following decree was made, Town of
Virginia. At a Special Court of Appeals held at the State Courthouse
in the City of Richmond, on Monday, February 10th 1851.

Cuthbert S. Carlson and Thomas M. Newson executors
of Nathaniel Francis deceased

Appeal { Upon an appeal from a

decrees pronounced by

against

Harriet Whitehead

Appeal { the Circuit Superior

Court of law and chancery held for Southampton County on
on the tenth day of November 1848 in a suit in which the ap-
pellee was plaintiff the appellee testator was defendant.

This day came the parties by their counsel and the court having mature-
ly considered the transcript of the record of the decree aforesaid and the arguments
of counsel, is of opinion that there is no error in the said decree;
therefore it is decreed and ordered that the same be affirmed and
the appellants out of the estate of their testator in their hands to be
administered do pay unto the appellee her costs by her about her defence
in this behalf expended.

Which is ordered to be certified to the Circuit Court of Southampton County
Appellee's costs in the

Copy

Court of Appeals 3d of 5. 9. 8

Teste. J. Allen, C.C.A.

Whereupon it is by the court deemed meet orders that a Commissioner of this
Court power to take the several accounts as directed by the decree of
November Term 1848, which account the said Commissioner is directed
to examine, state and report with any matters which he may deem
pertinent or which may be required by the parties to be stated.

James H. Morgan

Off

{ In Chancery

against

Mary E. Remington widow & Anna, Alice and Eugenia Remington

John A. Cotton & William Prince

Off

The Subpoena awarded in this cause having been returned executed on the de-
fendants Mary E. Remington, John A. Cotton and William Prince and they still
failing to appear and answer although two months have elapsed as well since
the Plaintiff filed his bill as since the service of the subpoena, on motion of the
plaintiff his bill is taken for confessed as to the Defendants Mary Reming-
ton, John A. Cotton and William Prince, and the cause came on to be heard on
the bill, answer of the infant Defendants and replication thereto and was argued
by counsel. On consideration whereof the court doth adjudge, order and decree
that a commissioner of this court take the following accounts to wit 1st
an account of the transactions of James H. Morgan as administrator
de bonis non of John A. S. Remington dec'd £ 5 an account of the outstanding
debts against the estate of the said Remington and their attorney, dis-
tinguishing between the debts due by specificity in which the heirs are